Quality	<b>Assurance</b>	Report f	or 2019
---------	------------------	----------	---------

## **Dublin City Council**

Submitted to the National Oversight and Audit Commission in compliance with the Public Spending Code

#### Certification

This Quality Assurance Report reflects Dublin City Council's assessment of compliance with the Public Spending Code. It is based on the best financial, organisational and performance related information available across the various areas of responsibilities.

Our P Keeger

Owen P. Keegan Chief Executive

**Dublin City Council** 

28th August 2020

#### **Contents**

1.0	INTERNA	luction
1.17		

- 2.0 Expenditure Analysis
  - 2.1 Project/Programme Inventory
  - 2.2 Summary of procurements in excess of €10m
- 3.0 Assessment of Compliance
  - 3.1 Checklists & Findings
  - 3.2 In-depth Check
- 4.0 Addressing Quality Assurance Issues
- 5.0 Conclusion

Appendix 1 Project/Programme Inventory

Appendix 2 Completed Checklists

#### 1.0 Introduction

Dublin City Council has completed this Quality Assurance (QA) Report as part of its ongoing compliance with the Public Spending Code (PSC). The Public Spending Code aims to ensure that the State achieves value for money in the use of public funds.

The report presents the results of each of the 5 steps of the QA process, as set out below, and aims to gauge the extent to which the Council is meeting the obligations set out in the Public Spending Code.

The Guidance Note issued to the Local Government Sector by the Finance Committee of the County and City Management Association has been used to complete the QA process in Dublin City Council.

The Quality Assurance process consists of 5 steps;

- Step 1 Drawing up the inventories of projects/programmes at different stages of the Project Life Cycle that have a total project cost in excess of €500,000. The three sections of the inventory are expenditure being considered, expenditure being incurred and expenditure recently ended.
- Step 2 Publish summary information on the City Council's web-site of all procurements in excess of €10m, related to projects in progress or completed in the year under review.
- **Step 3** Completion of the 7 checklists contained in the Public Spending Code in respect of expenditure at the different stages. One of each checklist per Local Authority is required. Checklists are not required for each project/programme.
- **Step 4** A more in-depth check of a small number of projects / programmes based on criteria established within the Public Spending Code.
- **Step 5** Completion of a report for the National Oversight and Audit Commission (NOAC) which will be generated through compliance with steps 1 to 4 and to be submitted by the end of August in respect of the previous year.

#### 2.0 Expenditure analysis

#### 2.1 Project/Programme Inventory

The Project Inventory sets out the list of all projects with activity in 2019 and which have a total project life cost of €500,000 or more. As specified in the *PSC QA Requirements Guidance Note for the Public Sector*, capital projects which have been listed in previous PSC reports in the Expenditure Being Incurred category remain in this category year on year until the project is complete. The inventory is broken down into capital and current expenditure and consists of three categories:

- Expenditure being considered
- Expenditure being incurred
- Expenditure recently ended

As per the template provided to Dublin City Council, Capital Expenditure in the being considered category is further broken down into value bands of €0.5m - €5m, €5m-€20m and €20m plus.

The complete inventory is contained in **Appendix 1**.

The Inventory contains 303 projects across the three categories and is comprised of a total value of €3,319,042,929. The inventory was compiled using the format recommended in the guidance note from the CCMA. The list contains relevant services from the Council's Annual Financial Statement 2019 in respect of the current expenditure and a list of relevant capital projects/programmes extracted from the Council's Financial Management System, with information verified by project owners, for capital expenditure.

#### **Summary of Project Inventory 2019**

#### **Number of Projects by Category**

	Current Expenditure	Capital Expenditure Being Incurred and Recently Ended	Capita	Total		
No. of Projects			€0.5- €5m	€5m-€20m	€20m plus	
Expenditure Being Considered			44	9	3	56
Expenditure Being Incurred	56	148				204
Expenditure Recently Ended		43				43
Total	56	191	44	9	3	303

#### **Projects by Cost**

	Current Expenditure	Capital Expenditure Being Incurred and Recently Ended	Capital Expe	enditure being	g considered	Total
Projects			€0.5m-	€5m-€20m	Over €20m	
			€5m			
Expenditure			91,021,426	97,262,244	94,500,000	282,783,670
Being						
Considered						
Expenditure	975,141,000	1,868,532,050				2,843,673,050
Being						
Incurred						
Expenditure		192,586,209				192,586,209
Recently						
Ended						
Total	975,141,000	2,061,118,259	91,021,426	97,262,244	94,500,000	3,319,042,929

### 2.2 Summary of Procurements in excess of €10m

In compliance with Step 2 of the QA process, there were 14 procurements in excess of €10m which relate to projects which are included on the Inventory for 2019.

There were four procurements already listed that have been updated to reflect transactions in 2019.

All this information can be found on the DCC website at the following location; <a href="http://www.dublincity.ie/PublicSpendingCode">http://www.dublincity.ie/PublicSpendingCode</a> along with a copy of this report.

#### 3.0 Assessment of Compliance

#### 3.1 Checklists and Findings

**Step 3** of the Quality Assurance process involved the compilation of a number of checklists, seven in total.

**Checklist 1:** General Obligations not specific to individual projects.

**Checklist 2:** Capital Projects under consideration.

**Checklist 3:** Current Expenditure under consideration.

**Checklist 4:** Capital Expenditure incurring expenditure

**Checklist 5:** Current Expenditure programmes incurring expenditure

**Checklist 6:** Capital Expenditure recently ended.

**Checklist 7:** Current Expenditure recently ended.

The completed checklists for Dublin City Council are contained in **Appendix 2.** 

The checklists were completed based on checklists returned for a random selection of projects under each of the 3 categories, where appropriate, explanatory comments are provided, in addition to self-assessed scores.

For both capital and current expenditure, the checklists indicate a satisfactory level of compliance with the requirements of the PSC and there are indications that there is scope for further improvement in certain aspects. No serious issues or concerns were evident during the completion of this step of the QA process.

**Checklist 1** indicates a high level of compliance with the PSC in terms of provision and development of appropriate guidelines and awareness in the organisation, with the addition of the establishment of a corporate governance structure for capital project expenditure and a Project Manager Network.

In relation to capital expenditure, **Checklist 2** shows a good level of compliance with the code and identifies areas of improvement in terms of establishing and gathering information on performance indicators. It is expected that improvement will continue through the Corporate Project Support Office and will lead to broad compliance with the code regarding performance indicators. **Checklists 4 and 6** show a satisfactory level of compliance. Improvements are still required regarding post projects reviews and these are being addressed through the corporate governance structure for capital projects, revised guidelines and the Corporate Project Support Office and related Project Manager Network.

#### 3.2 In-depth Check

The PSC – QA requirements state that the value of projects selected for in-depth review each year should be at least 1% of the total value of revenue and 5% of the total capital value on the project inventory and can be achieved over a 3 year period. It also states that over a 3-5 year period all stages of the project life cycle and every scale of project should have been included in the in-depth check. The Internal Audit Unit addressed these requirements for 2019 by conducting in-depth checks into two capital projects and one that has both capital and revenue elements.

• In-depth check of Dalymount Park Redevelopment Capital

 In-depth check of the Delivery of Social Housing through Approved Housing Bodies, facilitated by Dublin City Council

Capital & Revenue

 In-depth check of the Appraisal, Planning and Incurring Expenditure of the Phase 2 Volumetric Rapid Build Housing (Bundle 1)

Capital

**Dalymount Park Redevelopment Project** is project at the *incurring* stage. The project has a budgeted capital spend of €35.6m.

**Delivery of Social Housing through Approved Housing Bodies, facilitated by Dublin City Council** is a project at *all stages* of spend, across a variety of projects and has a budgeted capital spend of €158.7m and a 2019 AHB Revenue Expense mostly included within Revenue Code A07 RAS and Leasing Programme of €45.7m.

The Appraisal, Planning and Incurring Expenditure of the Phase 2 Volumetric Rapid Build Housing (Bundle 1) is project at the incurring stage. The project has a budgeted capital spend of €82.3M for Phase 2 (Bundle 1).

The overall objective of the audits was to ascertain if the management of the spending was in compliance with the Public Spending Code (PSC). Formal reports on the in-depth reviews have been completed and submitted to the Chief Executive.

The overall finding for the *Dalymount Park Redevelopment Project* is that work carried out to date for the Appraisal and Planning/Design Stages of the project complies with the requirements of the Public Spending Code, for the areas examined and the rating of *Satisfactory* was given. One recommendation was made, which has been accepted by the Chief Executive as follows:

All contracts should be sent for sealing by the Law Agent.

The overall finding for the *Delivery of Social Housing through Approved Housing Bodies, facilitated* by *Dublin City Council* complied with some, but not all, of the areas examined under the requirements of the Public Spending Code and the rating of *Needs Improvement* was given.

The Chief Executive has indicated that he agrees with the overall rating. He has also accepted 5 of the 10 recommendations contained in the Internal Audit report as follows:

- Housing Department should complete the outline cost analysis and consider same.
- The Housing Department should liaise with the Finance Department to develop Oracle reports that capture all Capital and Revenue costs for Housing Projects, so that Housing Project costs are readily available on an ongoing basis.
- That DCC should take minutes of all meetings with AHBs in relation to projects.
- The Executive Manager to confirm to Internal Audit that AHB properties have been included on the Open House Management System (OHMS) and that there will be ongoing capture of AHB property changes on OHMS.
- Comprehensive DCC CAS and CALF procedures should be put in place and documented.

These recommendations are being implemented. In relation to 2 of the remaining recommendations he accepts the recommendations but has advised that there are significant resource constraints, which will delay full implementation. These recommendations are as follows:

- In respect of CALF properties, Housing Management to obtain the Annual report on the condition of properties.
- Housing Management to devise a policy on inspection regime for relevant AHB properties.

In relation to the balance of the recommendations he has advised that while he is sympathetic to what the recommendations are intended to achieve there are political sensitivities in relation to the recommendations and he does not propose to implement them at this stage. These recommendations are as follows:

- When considering options for social housing delivery using LA property, there should be documentation of the rationale for going the AHB route versus the main alternatives for social housing provision in any given situation.
- Market value of sites should be available and considered for comprehensive costing of projects.
- DCC should always seek recovery of the market value of DCC sites provided to AHBs for social housing.

The overall finding for *The Appraisal, Planning and Incurring Expenditure of the Phase 2 Volumetric Rapid Build Housing (Bundle 1)* was that the Appraisal, Planning and Implementation Stages of the Volumetric Phase 2(Bundle 1) addressed some but not all of the requirements of the PSC for the areas examined. The rating of **Needs Improvement** was given. The Chief Executive has indicated that he agrees with the overall rating. He has also accepted 3 of the 4 recommendations contained in the Internal Audit report as follows

 A Steering Committee and/or formal Project Board should be put in place for large Housing Projects.

- A formal project reporting process should be put in place for recording detail on individual Housing Projects
- Regulatory approvals should be obtained from the Sanctioning Authority for all projects.

The other recommendation is as follows:

• In the interest of enhanced Governance and Project oversight, the H&CS Department projects should be brought under the DCC Corporate Project Governance Board and Capital Project Support Office.

The Chief Executive has indicated that he does not accept this recommendation. His positon is that housing capital projects are subject to very considerable oversight including a staged approval process by the Department of Housing, Local Government & Heritage. If housing capital projects are brought under the DCC Corporate Project Governance Board and Capital Project Support Office it will require additional resources, involve a degree on unnecessary replication and delay the delivery of high priority social housing projects.

#### 4.0 Addressing Quality Assurance Issues

Formal Capital Project Governance procedures were implemented in Dublin City Council in 2017. A capital project governance structure is now in place where a Corporate Project Governance Board supported by a Corporate Project Support Office provide oversight of capital projects across the organisation. Improved capital project approval and monitoring processes are in place.

The Corporate Project Support Office provides support and guidance for capital projects and encourages compliance with the PSC.

The recommendations of the In-depth Checks have been incorporated into the Project Governance Guidelines within the City Council.

A training programme is delivered to Project Managers on an ongoing basis. "Project Manager Network" events take place two to three times a year which focus on compliance with the PSC, Capital Project Governance and sharing "lessons learned".

#### 5.0 Conclusion

The City Council has completed the necessary steps in the QA process and has prepared the required Inventory showing all relevant expenditure. There are 10 new procurements in excess of €10m requiring publishing for 2019, bringing it to a total of 14.

The PSC QA Report for 2018 has been published on the website.

The PSC QA Report for 2019 will also be published on the website in due course. The checklists and in-depth checks have demonstrated a satisfactory level of compliance with the Public Spending Code, with some issues or concerns being highlighted through the process. Areas for improvement identified in this report will be incorporated into the project governance within the organisation and progress monitored so as to ensure high compliance with the PSC within the City Council.

Local Authority		Expenditure	being considered				openditure being	Incurred	Eq	enditure recently	ended	Notes
	Current > 60.5m	Capital Grant	Cap	Capital Projects		Current	> 60.5m Capital Grant	Capital Projects	Current	> 60.5m Capital Grant	Capital Projects	
	> eccorn	Schemes >		Capital Projects		Expenditure	Schemes	Capital Projects	Expenditure	Schemes	Capacia Projecto	
		60.5m	60.5 - 65m	65-620m	C0mplus							
Dublin City Council												
Housing & Building												
Avila Park Community Centre			€1,250,000.00									
Purchase of Fire Appliances								67,971,163.00				
Ratoath Avenue - Novas (CAS)			€1,400,000.00									
St. Oliver's Day House Upgrade			62,240,000.00									
	$\overline{}$											Not Proceeding. The Ballyfermot village was
	ı	ı	l	l	l	l	ı	l .		ı	l	superseded by the draft proposals from the NTA regarding BusConnects which included major works
Ballyfermot Village Environmental Improvement Scheme	ı	ı	l	l	l	l	ı	l .		ı	61,000,000,00	on the main road.
Refurbishment of Nutgrove Fire Station	_		€1,040,000.00									
Grove Lane	-		€1,250,000.00			_	<del>                                     </del>			<del>                                     </del>	_	
Matt Talbot Street Housing Development	-	_	€1,500,000.00			-	_					
Dunner Street Housing Development	<del></del>	_				_		€1,500,000.00				
Bolton Street - Novas (CAS)	<del></del>	_				_	_	41,500,000.00		_	61 3F0 000 00	no longer an AHB project
New Street Peter McVerry Trust (CAS)	<del></del>	_				_	├─			├──	£1,250,000.00	no longer an Avis project
	├		€1,700,000.00							<b>-</b>		
Cappagh Road & Wellmount Avenue	├		62,000,000.00			_				-		
Cara Park Community Centre - 8 house build	$\vdash$		€3,200,000.00			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		<u> </u>	-	<del></del>	
St Margarets Park Day House Upgrade	<del></del>			68,639,910.00		$\vdash$	⊢—		<b>—</b>	⊢—		
Elmainham Cross - Novas (CAS)	⊢		62,605,852.00			<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>			⊢—	⊢—	
CAS Shaw Street Peter McVerry Trust	├		C3,000,000.00			$\vdash$	<b>—</b>			⊢—	├	
Newmarket Square Environmental Improvement Scheme	ı	l	64,370,000,00			l	l	l	1	l	l	<b>l</b>
St Michaels Estate Regeneration also known as Site 1 BSt.	-	_				-				<del></del>		
Michaels Estate Inchicore				€17,219,334.00								
Calls for Proposals Acquisitions 2018-20 (CAS)					630,000,000.00							
CAS 25-26 Ushers Island Dublin Simon					623,500,000.00							
Dolphin House Phase 2					641,000,000.00							
												provisional allowance in the 2018-20 Budget to
	ı	l	l	l	l	l	ı	l .		l	l	indicate the future Rapid developments which were
PHASE 2 VOLUMETRIC(Bundles 1 to 3-587 units)	ı	ı	l	l	l	l	ı	€175,000,000.00		ı	l	at an early stage without any specific sites being
	ı	ı	l	l	l	l	ı			ı	l	listed. As sites are identified and as costs begin to accrue new centres are opened for each individual
	ı	ı	l	l	l	l	ı	l .		ı	l	development
Secretary of contrast of Secretary	-					_				├──		
Feasibility of Land for Development - Travellers	<del></del>	_				-	<del></del>	6500,000.00		├──		
	ı	l	l	l	l	l	ı	l .		l	l	Project on hold due to objections regarding
	ı	ı	l	l	l	l	ı	l .		ı	l	connection to main sewers. Alternative to sewer
Nass Road - 3 house site	⊢						<b>—</b>	6997,005.00		⊢—		connections currently being investigated.
Tara Lawns	—							€1,600,000.00				
Basin Street Flats Refurbishment	<u> </u>										£750,000.00	
Cambridge Road								6900,000.00				
St Josephs Day House Upgrade								62,240,000.00				
Special Needs Adapted Traveller Accommodation								€1,100,000.00				
Peter McVerry Trust - St Agathas											€1,170,000.00	Project complete but awaiting final account
Franshaw House - Acquisition AKA RAFTERS LANE CRUMLIN												
ROAD(SOCIAL EANNA/FRANSHAW HOUSE/LISSADELL) REGENERATION	ı	ı	l	l	l	l	ı	637,700,000.00		ı	l	
Woodbank Drive	-							€1,275,000.00				
	-					-				_		
Ballyfermot Ovic Investment Programme also known as	ı	ı	l	l	l	l	ı	l .		ı	l	
Ballyfermot Community Clvic Centre Upgrade	Ь—							€1,300,000.00				
77a St. Stephen's Green	—										£1,500,000.00	
Tuath - Gallery Quay								€1,750,000.00				
Broome Lodge Cluid	<u> </u>										€1,785,899.00	
Abberly Court Hotel											64,200,000.00	
St Bricins Park								62,000,000.00				
Martanna House											62,300,000.00	
7 Ellis Quay - emergency accommodation											62,156,638.00	
Energy Efficiency Upgrade Project Ballymun											62,290,000.00	Ongoing - rolling project no fixed asset
CAS CALLS TOWNSEND STREET 2017								62,610,000.00				
The Royal Hospital Donnybrook VHA: Nos 15-30 Beech Hill												
Terrace	├									├──	63,050,000.00	
Library Square Ringsend	├					<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	62,900,000.00		<b>—</b>		
Alding House, St. Lawrences Road, Clontarf	<b>—</b>										62,978,800.00	
St Dominics Redevelopment	<b>—</b>							67,000,000.00				
Beicamp Site B	_							63,200,000.00				
Construction Greendale Community Centre								€3,227,177.00				
Little Britain Street - emergency accommodation											64,250,000.00	

Level Build and the												Notes
Local Authority		Expenditure	being considered			-	penditure being	incurred	Di	penditure recenti	y ended	Notes
	Current > 60.5m	Capital Grant	Cap	Capital Projects		Current	> 60.5m Capital Grant	Capital Projects	Current	> 60.5m Capital Grant	Capital Projects	
		Schemes >		Capital Projects		Expenditure	Schemes	Capital III quali	Expenditure	Schemes	Spin riquis	
		<b>60.5</b> m	€0.5 - €5m	C5-C20m	<b>C</b> 20m plus							
Dublin City Council												
Rafters Lane - Walk											€3,100,000.00	
Rathvilly Park / Virginia Park								63,520,000.00				
Francis Street Environmental Improvement Scheme  CV Ballybough Road 2-6		_	-			_		61,603,000.00		_		
						-		64,125,000.00				
Ayrefield / Slademore Elik Court - Tusth			-			_		63,750,000.00				
Moss Street 33-34 Cooperative Housing Ireland	$\vdash$		_			-				_	66,700,000.00	No locate on AUS neglect
and steel at a cope size roung read											45,500,000,00	No longer an AHS project.
Kerdiffstown Emergency Accommodation											66,500,000.00	Operational now managed by Peter McVerry Trust
Kilmore Road								<b>63,200,000.00</b>				
Redevelopment Labre Park								€12,500,000.00				
Boller Replacement Programme												Seller December and Berlinstein and account of
		_	_			-					69,000,000.00	Rolling Programme not fixed asset - non project
Mellowes Road Emergency Accommodation	$\vdash$		$\vdash$					69,850,000.00		$\vdash$		
CAS (Housing Agency Acquisitions) for Peter McVerry Trust)								€10,000,000.00				
North King Street								€11,500,000.00				
Redevelopment of Buttercup Park								€11,750,000.00				
NEIC Arts Centre James Joyce Street/ Foley Street											£11,831,770.00	
Bunnatty Road (volumetric)								€24,000,000.00				
Infirmary Road / Montpeller Hill								€17,600,000.00				
Constitution Hill Refurb Scheme								€34,500,000.00				
Rutland Street School								€18,046,980.00				
Elmdale - Cherry Orchard								€15,000,000.00				
CAS - Calls for Proposals 2016- Acquisitions											€15,000,000.00	
CAS CALLS FOR ACQUISITIONS 2017								€20,000,000.00				
Dorset Street Refurb Scheme AKA DORSET STREET												Project "St Mary's Pliot" listed on 2017 inventory is
DEMOUTION & NEW BUILD Energy Efficiency Works AKA ENERGY EFFECIENCY WORKS			_			-		<b>657,300,000.00</b>				now part of this project
PHASE 2											£17,400,000.00	Rolling Programme not fixed assett - non project
Cork Street/Chamber Street Housing Development								€19,443,555.00				
Bonham Street Housing Development								€19,443,555.00				
Springvale Chapelized Housing Development								€19,443,555.00				
Comamona (Comamona Court Redevelopment)								€20,652,000.00				
O'Devaney Gardens Phase 1(A)								€20,700,000.00				
CV - Croke Villas Redevelopment								£27,200,000.00				Delayed pending outcome of Judicial review
Dolphin House Phase 1											C26,500,000.00	
Cromcastle Housing Development								£27,500,000.00				
St Teresas Gardens Redevelopment (Procurement of ) Lower Dominic Street also known as Dominic St. East Side			-			-		€34,000,000.00				
Regeneration								642,254,000.00				
Priory Hall Security and Miscellaneous Charges AKA PRIORY												
HALL REMEDIATION			$\vdash$					€38,000,000.00				
O'Devaney Gardens HU			$\vdash$			-		€125,500,000.00		$\vdash$		
Oscar Traynor HU			$\vdash$			-		€147,000,000.00		$\vdash$		
St. Michael's Estate Regeneration HU						-		€180,000,000.00				
Grove Lane Phase 2			£1,750,000.00			-		-		$\vdash$		Project stalled
St. Finbans Court				£17,000,000.00		-		-		$\vdash$		
Cromcartie - Regeneration			€1,500,000.00			-				$\vdash$		
National Homeless IT Centre			€1,043,040.00			-		-		$\vdash$		
Eylemore Road (Family Hub)			<b>65,000,000.00</b>			-				$\vdash$		
Refurbishment of 5 Homeless Shelters (Dublin Simon)			£1,800,000.00									
Arbour Hill (Dublin Simon) (CAS)			64,931,000.00									
Dolphins Barn Evironmental Improvement Scheme			€1,850,000.00									
Cork Street Evironmental Improvement Scheme			C3,000,000.00									
Meath Street Public Realm Improvement			G,000,000.00									
Scribblestown Improvement Works			<b>6</b> 200,000,00									
Bannow Road Housing Development				£5,500,000.00								
146-156 Harolds Cross Road Dublin 6W			<b>62,074,000.00</b>									
Dolphin House Phase 18 Construction of 34 Units				C15,500,000.00								
Glin Court Housing Development								69,871,430.00				
Proposed Fire Brigade Depot at Belgard Road				€7,303,000.00								

						_						
Local Authority	_		being considered			De	enditure being in	curred	De	penditure recent	y ended	Notes
	Current	Capital Grant	Ca	Control Designate		Comment	> 60.5m	Capital Projects	Company	>40.5m	Control Services	
	> 60.5m	Schemes >		Capital Projects		Expenditure	Schemes	Capital Projects	Current Expenditure	Capital Grant Schemes	Capital Projects	
		60.5m	@.5 - CSm	65-620m	<b>Q</b> 0m plus							
Dublin City Council												
AO1 Maintenance / Improvement of LA Housing Units												
	<del></del>					72,543,000			-			
A02 Housing Assessment, Allocations and Transfers						7,385,000						
AGS Housing Rent and Tenant Purchase Admin						9,558,000						
AD4 Housing Community Development Support						23,075,000						
AOS Administration of Homeless Service						175,569,000						
A06 Support to Housing Capital Programme	Ь—					29,410,000						
A07 RAS Programme	—					45,754,000						
AOR Housing Loans	⊢	_			⊢—	10,841,000						
A09 Housing Grant	⊢				⊢—	12,418,000						
A12 HAP Programme	├					14,938,000				_		
	⊢	_			├──			$\vdash$	-		_	
5	├				├──	-		$\vdash$	$\vdash$	_		
Road Transportation and Safety HGV Software Upgrade	├	_	commo		├──				_			
Mary Street Improvements	-	<del>                                     </del>	@97,875.00	$\vdash$	-	$\vdash$			$\vdash \vdash$		$\vdash$	
Hanover Street East	$\vdash$	<del>                                     </del>	€1,000,000,00		<del>                                     </del>	$\vdash$						
Overhead Network Upgrade (New)	-	<del>                                     </del>	600,000,00		-	$\vdash$			-			project temporarily on hold
Castleforbes Street	$\overline{}$		61,875,000.00			$\vdash$						
Liffey Boardwalk Upgrade	-		62,278,500.00									
Tom Clarke Bridge Upgrade	_			65,000,000.00		-			-			project on hold
South Campthires Cycleway	-										6500,000.00	
Fibre Optic Garda HQ Link	$\overline{}$							6754,653.00	$\overline{}$			
Traffic Management Weather System for DCC	-							esan,000.00				Litted on 2017 inventory as incurring expenditure.
	ı			l	l	1		1 !		l .	l	Project is currently being considered. It is on hold
	_					-			-	_		
Docklands Fedestrian & Cyclist Bridge - North Wall Quay	Ь—							6671,717.00				
Hole in the Wall Roundabout Junction Improvements	ı			l	l	1				l	6700,000.00	
Clarendon Row	_					-		6650,041.00	-			
AVI. Bus Priority Project	-							€1,485,120.00	-	-		
City Centre High Density Cycle Parking	_							€1,904,811.00				project on hold
Be Good Project	$\overline{}$							6906,121.00	$\overline{}$			
Cardle Street								61,000,000.00				Suspended pending a review
Bus Lanes North & South Quays											€1,000,000.00	
Velo City											61,554,520.00	
Junction of Balbutcher Lane & Poppintree Lane West								€1,534,760.00				
(Balbutcher Lane Junction Reconfiguration) Fitzwilliam Street Cycle Route	-				<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		61,800,000.00				
Cycle Parking AKA On Street Cycle Parking	<del></del>							61,960,307.00	-	_		
	_					-		ta, may no road	-	_		
Blackhorse Avenue Sect 2 Road Improvement Scheme											62,182,000.00	
Luas Associated Works								62,000,000.00				
Fibre Optic Network Project	Ь							63,665,574.00				
Grafton Street Works Harry Street / Balfe Street (Chatham Street/Harry Street)	I				l			1 !	1		62,277,606.00	
Clarendon Street								62,585,000.00				
Belmayne Street & Balmayne Avenue Scheme								68,094,513.00				
Liffey Street Improvements								63,172,345.00				
River Dodder Greenway Herbert Park to Donnybrook												
River Dodder Greenway Herbert Park to Donnybrook  Point Junction Improvement Scheme	$\vdash$	<del>                                     </del>		$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$		G1,331,267.00 G1,467,000.00	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
Point Junction Improvement screene  Duke Street, South Anne Street	-	<del>                                     </del>				$\vdash$		64,000,000.00	-			
LED Improvement Scheme	$\vdash$	<del>                                     </del>			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		62,862,235.00				
The Broadstone Plaza	-							64,100,000.00				
Transport Asset Management System (TAMS)	$\overline{}$							- Company			64,283,973.00	
DDC13/0054 Royal Canal Greenway Phase 4 Philosborough	$\overline{}$					$\Box$						
to Ashtown	├				<b>—</b>	——		64,716,377.00				
Ballymun Sillogue Infrastructure	⊢				├──	<del></del>		64,958,800.00				
	ı			l	l	1				l	l	The scope of the project changed and was
	I				l			1 !	1			drastically reduced from two junction redesigns to
Junction Changes due to City Centre Proposals Heuston to Chapellood Greenway Cycle Route	⊢	-			├──	—		65,500,000.00			65,000,000.00	one pedestrian crossing which has been complete: Project was listed as incurring expenditure on 2017
to Chaperiou Greenway Cycle House	L											inventory. Project is on hold
	I											At post project review but still drainage issues at 2 locations to be resolved
COS Corda Mildlanes Schama Bull bland to Conserve Book												COLUMN TO THE PROPERTY OF
S2S Cycle/Walkway Scheme Bull Island to Causeway Road	⊢				<del></del>	$\vdash$	<b>——</b>				t aparticular and a second	
525 Cycle/Walkway Scheme Bull Irland to Causeway Road Royal Canal North Strand to Phibeborough Road Royal Canal Premium Cycle Route Phase 2 Sheriff Street to								6,492,050			-	

Local Authority												Notes
Local Authority	Current	Expenditure	being considered	pital		De-	enditure being b >60.5m	ncurred	ь	penditure recent > 60.5m	y ended	NOTES.
	> 60.5m	Capital Grant		Capital Projects		Current	Capital Grant	Capital Projects	Carrent	Capital Grant	Capital Projects	
	_	Schemes >				Expenditure	Schemes		Expenditure	Schemes		
		60.5m	60.5 - 65m	65-Q0m	Q0m plus							
Oublin City Council	_											
College Green Plaza	-	_	_	<del></del>		_		€10,000,000.00				
Clontarf to City Centre Cycle Scheme Fairview to Amiens Street	l							612,834,467.00				
East Link Upgrade (now changed to Point Pedestrian and Cycle												
Bridge) Real Time Passenger Information System	⊢	-		<del></del>				613,000,000.00		_		
Near Faller Passenger I I Collabor Spicer I	-	_						613,207,070.00				
Forbes Street Pedestrian Bridge and Cycle Bridge (now named	l	l	ı	l		ı						
Bloodstoney Bridge due to change in location)	⊢	-		<del></del>				617,000,000.00		_		
Sandford (Clonskeagh) to City Centre Cycle Route Grand Canal Blackhome to Portobello	⊢	_		<b>-</b>				617,579,400.00 617,927,240.00				Project was listed as incurring expenditure on 2017
								421,021,24000				Inventory. Project is on hold.
Dodder Cyclist and Pedestrian Improvements								<b>€23,498,855.00</b>				Project on Hold
Dodder Bridge	_							G1,500,000.00				
Liffley Cycle Route	<u> </u>			<u> </u>				63,641,171.00				
Lighting Infrastructure Upgrade Project	├	-		<u> </u>		_		65,390,000.00				
St. Margaret's Link Road Severe Weather Road Repairs Programme AVA Storm Emma	├	-	G,140,000.00	<b>-</b>								
Damage Repairs	L	<u> </u>		<u> </u>							66,300,000.00	
East Coast Trail (Affie Byrne Rd-EastWall Road)			64,675,000.00									Project on Hold
East Coast Trail (Sean Moore Rd-Merrion Gates)				65,100,000.00								
Finglas Area Roundabouts			@5Q000.00									
South Grand Canal Cycle Lanes Improvement Scheme			62,000,000.00									
CYCLE SAFE INTERSECTIONS			63,000,000.00									Project on Hold
Tom Clarke Bridge - Toll System Upgrade	_		62,500,000.00									
Gallback Stream Culvert Replacement	<u> </u>										63,400,000.00	
Purchase of Compact Sweepers	├			<u> </u>		_					€ 2,091,000.00	
Bike Bunkers	├		61,540,000.00	<b>-</b>								
BGD Regional Road - Improvement & Mitce	⊢	-				10,107,000						
904 Local Road - Improvement & Mice	$\vdash$			<b>-</b>		40,633,000						
905 Public Lighting 906 Traffic Management Improvement	$\vdash$	_		<del></del>		30,455,000 30,503,000						
808 Road Safety Promotion/Education	$\vdash$	_	_	<del></del>		4,004,000						
809 Car Parking	$\vdash$	_	_	<del></del>		13,811,000						
B10 Support to Roads Capital Programme	$\vdash$	-				3,833,000						
B11 Agency & Recoupable Services	$\vdash$	-				1,579,000						
WaterServices												
Grand Canal Basin Amenity & Water Quality Project			Ø50000.00									
												This project is being incorporated in to Docklands Public Realm - South Campshires under
South Campthines SDZ Project Sir John Rogersons Quay	ı	l	ı	l		ı		l .			€1,100,000,00	Development Management
Surface Water Network Improvement Work			63,000,000.00									
Sandymount Flood Defences Phase 1 and 2								6800,000.00				
Dublin Flood Forecatting & Flood Warning System								€1,225,386.00				
Flood Alleviation Fleet								62,000,000.00				
Culvert Improvement Works - Screen Upgrade Works								C2,183,255.00				
Implementing Flood Resilien City Outcome	⊢			<u> </u>				€2,443,806.00				
Campshires Flood Protection Project	⊢	⊢—		⊢—				62,500,000.00				
Poddle Flood Alleviation	⊢	-		<u> </u>				C3,100,000.00				This project is being incorporated in to Docklands
	l	l	l	l		l		l .				Public Realm - North Campshires under
North Campshires Flood Defence Future Climate Change	Ь—			L							61,500,000.00	Development Management
Water Framework Directive Office								<b>65,048,056.00</b>				
Flooding Emergency Works & Flood Defence Repairs	<b>—</b>							€3,490,000.00				
River Wad Study and Construction Works AKA RIVER WAD - CLANMOYLE ROAD FLOOD ALLEVIATION SCHEME	ı	l		I		I		64,000,000.00				
The state of the s	⊢	<b>—</b>						£3,888,000.00				
Clontarf Flood Relief AVA CLONTARF R. 000 DEFENCE PROJECT			ı	ı	I	I		CS,087,000.00				
S2S Phase 2 Surface Water AUA S2S PHASE TWO - LIFFEY TO SANDYMOUNT(SOUTH CITY FLOOD DEFENCES)	ı	ı	I .		ı							
S25 Phase 2 Surface Water AICA S25 PHASE TWO - LIFFEY TO SANDYMOUNT(SOUTH CITY FLOOD DEFENCES)	⊢	┝								l		
S2S Phase 2 Surface Water AKA S2S PHASE TWO - LIFFEY TO SANDHMOUNT(SOUTH CITY FLOOD DEFENCES) S2S Phase 1 Sutton to Liffey AKA S2S PhasE 1 KLIJARRACK TO	$\vdash$											
2S Phase 2 Surface Water AMA SES PHASE TWO - LIFFEY TO SANDYMOLIMI SOUTH CITY FLOOD DEFENCES!  SS Phase 1 Surface to LIFFEY AMA SIS PHASE 1 KILBARRACK TO LIFFEY, DOLLYMOLIMET AND BULL ISLAND Sodder Flood Works AMA LOWER BYER DODDER FLOOD								67,000,000.00				
QS Phase 2 Surface Winter AUA SSS PHASE TWO - LIFFEY TO SANDYMOUNTS,OUTH CITY FLOOD DEFENDESS SSS Phase 1 Sutton to Liffey AUA SIS PHASE 1 KILBARRACK TO LIFFEY, DOLLYMOUNT AND BULL SAND 10 SOGGET FROOT WORKS AWA LOWER SINGE BODDER FLOOD ALLEVIATION SCHEME PHASE 2 AND 3								67,000,000.00 66,200,000.00				
SIS Phase 2 Surface Water ALA SIS PHASE TWO - LIFEP TO SANDWAGUINTS/OUTH-CITY FLOOD DEFENCES)  SIS Phase 1 Suffon to Liffey ALA SIS PHASE 1 KILBARRACK TO LIFFEY, DOLLIMOUNT AND BULL GLAND SOGGE FROOT BOYS AND SUME SINCE BODDER FLOOD ALLEVIATION SCHEME PHASE 2 AND 3  OSL Water Supply						28,152,000						
DIS Piane 2 Surface Water AMA, SIS PAUSE TWO—LIFFEY TO SANDYMOUNT[SOUTH CITY FLOOD DEFENCES]  SIS PHOLE 1 Sutton to LIFFey AMA SIS PAUSE 1 KILBARRACK TO UFFRY, DOLUMPOUNT AND BULL BLAND SOGGET FLOOD WATER PAUSE 2 AND 3 OIL Water Supply  CO2 Water Water Treatment						11,169,000						
SIS Phase 2 Surface Water ALA SIS PHASE TWO - LIFEP TO SANDWAGUINTS/OUTH-CITY FLOOD DEFENCES)  SIS Phase 1 Suffon to Liffey ALA SIS PHASE 1 KILBARRACK TO LIFFEY, DOLLIMOUNT AND BULL GLAND SOGGE FROOT BOYS AND SUME SINCE BODDER FLOOD ALLEVIATION SCHEME PHASE 2 AND 3  OSL Water Supply												

Local Authority												Notes
total nationally	Current	Expenditure	being considered	pital		Di	> 60.5m	curred	В	> 60.5m	y ended	NOOES
	> <b>60.5</b> m	Capital Grant		Capital Projects		Current	Capital Grant	Capital Projects	Current	Capital Grant	Capital Projects	
		Schemes >				Espenditure	Schemes		Expenditure	Schemes		
		60.5m	@3-6n	65-620m	C0m plus							
Dublin City Council												
	ı					l		l 1		l	l	
Development Management												
Ballymun Ovic Centre Remedial / Maintenance								6550,000.00				
Ballymun Civic Centre Reconfiguration Works											<b>6650,000.00</b>	
Wayfinding Scheme New Phase 2016								6750,000.00				
Demolition of Ballymun Shopping Centre								62,900,000.00				
												Surveys being carried out to ascertain the level of stabalisation works required for the building, still legal proceedings around the transfer of title back
Iveagh Market (20-27 Francis Street)								€16,500,000.00				to DCC
Market Refurbishment Project Phase 2								65,134,456.00				
Docklands Fibre Ducting								64,415,783.00				
Ballymun Shopping Centre											£10,650,000.00	
Docklands Office Remedial Works / George's Dock Facility	l							€12,472,067.00				
Docklands Public Realm	$\vdash$							€14,000,360.00				
Active Land Management Fund	-							€33,661,617.00				
	$\vdash$							130,001,017.00	-			Recently Ended 2018 but then additional stations
Dublinbikes Phase 3 Expansion								€1,440,000.00				added in 2019
Affordable Housing Serviced Sites Fund				£16,000,000.00								
DOI: Forward Planning						5,831,000						
D02 Development Management						8,593,000						
DOS Enforcement						2,454,000						
DO4 Industrial & Commercial Facilities						11,182,000						
DOG Community & Enterprise Function						8,514,000						
DOB Building Control						3,544,000						
D09 Economic Development & Promotion						6,141,000						
D11 Heritage and Conservation Services						2,935,000						
Environmental Services												
District Heating Project Phase 2 Purchase of Fleet								€1,202,000.00				listed as incurring expenditure on 2017 Inventory. It is currently being considered
PSICIALS OF PIEC.	-							£1,202,000.00				
Waste to Energy Project Construction & Commissioning Phase											64,397,885.00	
EO1. Landfill Operation and Aftercare						1,436,000						
ECC Recovery & Recycling Facilities Operations						4,081,000						
EO4 Provision of Waste Collection Services						1,782,000						
E05 Litter Management						4,521,000						
806 Street Cleaning						43,935,000						
E07 Waste Regs, Monitoring & Enforcement						5,828,000						
EOB Waste Management Planning	$ldsymbol{oxed}$					1,377,000						
E30 Safety of Structures & Places						3,857,000						
E11 Operation of Fire Service	<u> </u>					129,029,000						
E12 Fire Prevention	<b>—</b>					3,252,000		$oxed{\Box}$				
E13 Water Quality, Air & Noise Pollution	⊢					968,000						
E14 Agency & Recoupable Services	Ь—					1,294,000						
E15 Climate Change & Flooding	<u> </u>					843,000						
	$\vdash$											
Recreation and Amenity												
Ballyfermot Leisure Centre - Gym Extension			<b>6614,200.00</b>									
Fingles Library			£2,093,952.00									
Congriffin Library aka North Fringe Library			62,500,000.00									
Crumiin/Drimnagh (new development)	$\vdash$		*2,300,000.00					62,500,000.00				
Terenure Library Redevelopment			€3,828,213.00									
Ballyfermot Leiture Centre - New Pitch			Saymonya sakka					<b>6</b> 517,000.00				
REIMAGING Dublin One	$\vdash$							£1,500,000,00				Housing Department project
Fairview Park Tearcoms	$\vdash$							€1,458,170.00				
Bull Island	-							€729,212.00				
								C/29,212.00				

Local Authority		Expenditure	being considered			De	penditure being in	ncurred		spenditure recent	ly ended	Notes
	Current	T	Cap	Ital			> <b>(0.5</b> m			5 60 Sm		
	> <b>6</b> 0.5m	Capital Grant		Capital Projects		Current		Capital Projects	Current	Capital Grant	Capital Projects	
		Schemes >				Expenditure	Schemes		Expenditure			
		60.5m	€0.5 - €5m	G-(20m	420m plus							
Dublin City Council												
Chocolate Park Docklands (Renamed Benson Street Park)	l	I	l	l	l	I		****	l	l		
St. James's Graveyard	-			<del>                                     </del>		_		€984,052.00 €1,220,656.00		<del>                                     </del>		
Grafton St and Environs	<del></del>				<del></del>	_		<b>€1,220,056.00</b>		<del></del>		Housing Department project Housing Department project
Merrion Square Conservation Plan	⊢	-		<del></del>	<del></del>	_			<del></del>	├──	€1,000,000.00	
	├								<u> </u>		€1,346,672.00	
Mountjoy Square Conservation Plan Bushy Park Tea Rooms	├				├──	_		€1,300,000.00 €1,458,170.00		├──		
	⊢					-						
Parnell Square Cultural Quarter	├				├──	_		C1,777,957.00		├──		
Bridgefoot Street Park	⊢	-				-		£1,843,710.00				
Refurbishment of Wolfe Tone Park	Ь—					-		£1,872,712.00		<b>_</b>		
Le Fanu Park Playground	⊢				<b></b>			£1,880,450.00		<b></b>		
Temple Bar Square	Ь—							C2,346,355.00				
Coolock Library	Ь—			ļ	<b></b>			62,475,035.00	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Merrion Square Tearcoms	Ь—			L				62,600,000.00		<b></b>		
Hugh Lane Gallery	<b>—</b>							<b>63,422,743.00</b>		<u> </u>		
Kevin Street Library Refurbishment											€ 3,739,446.00	
Liffey Vale, Liffey Valley Park								64,994,182.00				
UNESCO - Dublin Bay Discovery Centre								€13,961,255.00				
Development of Dalymount Park								€35,638,408.00				
Inchicore Library Refurbishment								€1,273,344.00				
CEARNÓG - BALLYMUN								€1,500,000.00				
DIAMOND PARK UPGRADE								€700,000.00				
CHRISTICHURCH GROUNDS PHASE 2								<b>€750,000.00</b>				
EAMONN CEANNT DEPOT								<b>6965,000.00</b>				
ROCKFIELD PARK PADEL/TENNIS PAVILION								€1,300,000.00				
EAMONN CEANNT PARK PADEL/TENNIS PAVILION	$\overline{}$							€650,000.00				
FINGLAS/TOLKA VALLEY PARK ALL-WEATHER GAA PITCH								€1,300,000.00				
BELCAMP ALL WEATHER PITCH								<b>€589,000.00</b>				
St. Audeons Park Refurbishment	$\overline{}$		€1,566,136.00									
St. Anne's Tennis & Bowling Green	-							£1,986,900.00				
FO1 Leisure Facilities Operations	-	<b>i</b>				10,854,000						
FO2 Operation of Library & Archive Service	-					25,920,000						
FO3 Outdoor Leisure Areas Operations	-					25,467,000						
FO4 Community, Sport & Recreational Dev	-					20,556,000						
FOS Operation of Arts Programme	-					17,613,000						
Top operation reserves and	-	<del></del>				21,020,000				<del></del>		
	-					_						
Agriculture, Education, Health and Welfare	_			<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>				$\vdash$	<del></del>		
GD4 Veterinary Service	-	<b>-</b>				705,000			<b>-</b>			
GDS Education Support Services	-		<del></del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>	1,076,000			$\vdash$	<del></del>		
	$\vdash$	<del>                                     </del>				1,070,000			<del></del>	$\vdash$		
	$\vdash$	-		<b>—</b>		$\vdash$	<b>—</b>		$\vdash$	$\vdash$		
Miscellaneous Services	-								$\vdash$	$\vdash$		
	<del></del>	-	_	<b>—</b>					<del>                                     </del>	-		This aming will now and construct
Records Centre	-			<b> </b>	<b>-</b>		<b>-</b>		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	€2,000,000.00	This project will now not go sheed.
COPR Security	├	-		├──	⊢—			62,000,000.00	<b>—</b>			
Smart Oties Project	⊢	-				$\vdash$		€1,620,477.00	<b>—</b>	├──		
5 Infrastructure Project	⊢	-				$\vdash$		<b>67,660,250.00</b>	<del></del>	├──		
Core Implementation	├							<b>65,205,000.00</b>	<u> </u>	Ь——		
Design & Construction of a North City Operations Depot Bellymun	l	I		I	I			<b>654,027,462.00</b>	l	l		
Accessibility Works & Landscaping Works to Mension House &	$\overline{}$							,,				
Gardens	<u> </u>		<b>6</b> 508,658.00						L	L		
HO3 Administration of Rates	<del></del>	_				26,031,000 1,268,000						
H04 Franchise Costs H07 Operation of Markets & Casual Trading				<u> </u>		1,126,000						
HO9 Local Representation/Civic Leadership						6,015,000						
H10 Motor Taxation						5,652,000						
H11 Agency & Recoupable Services	-	-				9,108,000			$\vdash$	-		

### **Appendix 2: Completed Checklists**

#### **Dublin City Council**

## $\label{lem:checklist} \textbf{1} - \textbf{To be completed in respect of general obligations not specific to individual projects/programmes}$

General Obligations not specific to individual projects/	D	Discussion/Action
programmes	Self-Assessed Compliance Rating: 1 – 3	Required
1.1 Does the local authority ensure, on an on-going basis, that appropriate people within the authority and its agencies are aware of the requirements of the Public Spending Code (incl. through training)?	3	
1.2 Has training on the Public Spending Code been provided to relevant staff within the authority?	3	
1.3 Has the Public Spending Code been adapted for the type of project/programme that your local authority is responsible for? i.e., have adapted sectoral guidelines been developed?	3	Governance Guidelines have been produced and are available to all staff on DCC intranet
1.4 Has the local authority in its role as Sanctioning Authority satisfied itself that agencies that it funds comply with the Public Spending Code?	3	
1.5 Have recommendations from previous QA reports (incl. spot checks) been disseminated, where appropriate, within the local authority and to agencies?	3	
1.6 Have recommendations from previous QA reports been acted upon?	2	
1.7 Has an annual Public Spending Code QA report been certified by the local authority's Chief Executive, submitted to NOAC and published on the authority's website?	3	
1.8 Was the required sample of projects/programmes subjected to in-depth checking as per step 4 of the QAP?	3	
1.9 Is there a process in place to plan for ex post evaluations/Post Project Reviews?	2	New DCC Governance procedures have been in place since 2017. A key part of these procedures is
Ex-post evaluation is conducted after a certain period has passed since the completion of a target project with		the carrying out of post project reviews at the completion of projects.

emphasis on the effectiveness and sustainability of the project.		
1.10 How many formal Post Project Review evaluations have been completed in the year under review? Have they been issued promptly to the relevant stakeholders / published in a timely manner?	N/A	3 post project reviews were carried out for projects in 2019 in line with the DCC Governance procedures. These projects were less than €20 million in value and do not meet the criteria requiring publishing of project reviews.
1.11 Is there a process to follow up on the recommendations of previous evaluations/Post project reviews?	2	A DCC Project Manager Network is in place since 2018. This facilitates communication between the Corporate Project Governance Board, the Corporate Project Support Office and Project Managers corporately. One of the key functions of the network is the communication of lessons learned and identification of areas of improvement.
1.12 How have the recommendations of previous	N/A	
evaluations / post project reviews informed resource allocation decisions?		

# Checklist 2-To be completed in respect of capital projects/programmes & capital grant schemes that were under consideration in the past year

Capital Expenditure being Considered – Appraisal and Approval	Self-Assessed Compliance Rating: 1 - 3	Comment/Action Required
2.1 Was a preliminary appraisal undertaken for all projects > €5m?	3	
2.2 Was an appropriate appraisal method used in respect of capital projects or capital programmes/grant schemes?	3	
2.3 Was a CBA/CEA completed for all projects exceeding €20m?	3	
2.4 Was the appraisal process commenced at an early stage to facilitate decision making? (i.e. prior to the decision)	3	
2.5 Was an Approval in Principle granted by the Sanctioning Authority for all projects before they entered the planning and design phase (e.g. procurement)?	3	
2.6 If a CBA/CEA was required was it submitted to the relevant Department for their views?	3	
2.7 Were the NDFA consulted for projects costing more than €20m?	2	Adhering to DHPLG guidance on CEAs for housing projects
2.8 Were all projects that went forward for tender in line with the Approval in Principle and, if not, was the detailed appraisal revisited and a fresh Approval in Principle granted?	3	
2.9 Was approval granted to proceed to tender?	3	
2.10 Were procurement rules complied with?	3	
2.11 Were State Aid rules checked for all supports?	3	

2.12 Were the tenders received in line with the Approval in Principle in terms of cost and what is expected to be delivered?	3	
2.13 Were performance indicators specified for each project/programme that will allow for a robust evaluation at a later date?	2	Further work is being advised in this area
2.14 Have steps been put in place to gather performance indicator data?	2	Ongoing through the CPSO

Checklist 3 – To be completed in respect of new current expenditure under consideration in the past year.

Current Expenditure being Considered – Appraisal and Approval	Self-Assessed Compliance	Rating: 1-3	Comment/Action Required
3.1 Were objectives clearly set out?	3		
3.2 Are objectives measurable in quantitative terms?	3		
3.3 Was a business case, incorporating financial and economic appraisal, prepared for new current expenditure?	3		
3.4 Was an appropriate appraisal method used?	3		/
3.5 Was an economic appraisal completed for all projects exceeding €20m or an annual spend of €5m over 4 years?	3		
3.6 Did the business case include a section on piloting?	N/A		
3.7 Were pilots undertaken for new current spending proposals involving total expenditure of at least €20m over the proposed duration of the programme and a minimum annual expenditure of €5m?	N/A		
3.8 Have the methodology and data collection requirements for the pilot been agreed at the outset of the scheme?	2		
3.9 Was the pilot formally evaluated and submitted for approval to the relevant Department?	N/A		
3.10 Has an assessment of likely demand for the new scheme/scheme extension been estimated based on empirical evidence?	2		
3.11 Was the required approval granted?	3		

3.12 Has a sunset clause (as defined in section B06, 4.2	2	
of the Public Spending Code) been set?		
3.13 If outsourcing was involved were procurement	3	
rules complied with?		
3.14 Were performance indicators specified for each	3	
new current expenditure proposal or expansion of		
existing current expenditure programme which will		
allow for a robust evaluation at a later date?		
3.15 Have steps been put in place to gather	3	
performance indicator data?		

Checklist 4 – To be completed in respect of capital projects/programmes & capital grants schemes incurring expenditure in the year under review

Incurring Capital Expenditure		Comment/Action Required
	Self-Assessed Compliance Rating: 1 - 3	•
4.1 Was a contract signed and was it in line with the Approval in Principle?	3	
4.2 Did management boards/steering committees meet regularly as agreed?	3	
4.3 Were programme co-ordinators appointed to co-ordinate implementation?	3	
4.4 Were project managers, responsible for delivery, appointed and were the project managers at a suitably senior level for the scale of the project?	3	
4.5 Were monitoring reports prepared regularly, showing implementation against plan, budget, timescales and quality?	3	
4.6 Did projects/programmes/grant schemes keep within their financial budget and time schedule?	2	Further work required by implementing Project Managers with the support of Corporate Project Support Office
4.7 Did budgets have to be adjusted?	2	Further work required by implementing Project Managers with the support of Corporate Project Support Office
4.8 Were decisions on changes to budgets / time schedules made promptly?	3	
4.9 Did circumstances ever warrant questioning the viability of the project/programme/grant scheme and the business case incl. CBA/CEA? (exceeding budget, lack of progress, changes in the environment, new evidence, etc.)	3	
4.10 If circumstances did warrant questioning the viability of a project/programme/grant scheme, was the project subjected to adequate examination?	3	

4.11 If costs increased was approval received from the	3	
Sanctioning Authority?		
4.12 Were any projects/programmes/grant schemes	3	
terminated because of deviations from the plan, the		
budget or because circumstances in the environment		
changed the need for the investment?		
-		

Checklist 5 – To be completed in respect of current expenditure programmes incurring expenditure in the year under review

Incurring Current Expenditure	ssed ce -3	Comment/Action Required
	Self-Assessed Compliance Rating: 1 -3	
5.1 Are there clear objectives for all areas of current expenditure?	3	<ul> <li>Annual Statutory Budget process</li> <li>Corporate plan</li> <li>Service plans</li> <li>PMDS / Team Development Plans</li> <li>Risk Management</li> <li>SLA Agreements/Annual service plans which include KPI's</li> </ul>
5.2 Are outputs well defined?	3	<ul> <li>National KPI's</li> <li>Dublin City Council KPI's</li> <li>Team Development plans(TDP) &amp; Personal Development plans (PDP) targets</li> <li>SLA Targets</li> </ul>
5.3 Are outputs quantified on a regular basis?	3	<ul> <li>Quarterly budget monitoring and reporting</li> <li>Quarterly reporting to DHPLG on Payroll, Borrowings, Capital &amp; Revenue Income and Expenditure, Debtors and GGB</li> <li>Strategic Policy and Area Committees reporting</li> <li>Half yearly review of TDP and PDP/Monthly Monitoring</li> <li>Annual Report</li> <li>KPI's</li> <li>Department Statistical Returns</li> <li>Regional Steering Group</li> <li>LGMA</li> </ul>
5.4 Is there a method for monitoring efficiency on an on-going basis?	3	<ul> <li>Procurement monitoring</li> <li>Shared services review</li> <li>Internal and External auditors</li> <li>Quarterly budget reporting</li> <li>Planned services / function reviews</li> <li>Monthly meetings</li> </ul>

5.5 Are outcomes well defined?	3	<ul> <li>Targets are defined in the Annual Budget, Corporate Plan, Service Plans and Team plans</li> <li>Annual plans</li> </ul>
5.6 Are outcomes quantified on a regular basis?	3	<ul> <li>Annual Report</li> <li>Annual Budgets</li> <li>Quarterly Budget Monitoring</li> <li>SPC reporting</li> <li>Audit Committee</li> </ul>
5.7 Are unit costings compiled for performance monitoring?	2	<ul> <li>Budget Monitoring</li> <li>KPI's</li> <li>Unit Costing where appropriate</li> </ul>
5.8 Are other data compiled to monitor performance?	3	<ul><li>TDP/PDP</li><li>VFM</li><li>All relevant matrix and reviewed</li></ul>
5.9 Is there a method for monitoring effectiveness on an on-going basis?	3	<ul> <li>Combination of all above</li> <li>Formal reviews of some of DCC Departments / functions</li> <li>Reports and Team Meetings</li> </ul>
5.10 Has the organisation engaged in any other 'evaluation proofing' of programmes/projects?	2	<ul> <li>External review is part of sectoral efficiency programme</li> <li>European evaluation</li> </ul>

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Evaluation proofing involves checking to see if the required data is being collected so that when the time comes a programme/project can be subjected to a robust evaluation. If the data is not being collected, then a plan should be put in place to collect the appropriate indicators to allow for the completion of a robust evaluation down the line.

Checklist 6 – To be completed in respect of capital projects/programmes & capital grant schemes discontinued and/or evaluated during the year under review

Capital Expenditure Recently Completed	Self-Assessed Compliance Rating: 1-3	Comment/Action Required
6.1 How many post project reviews were completed in the year under review?	2	3 post project reviews were carried out for projects in 2019 in line with the DCC Governance procedures.
6.2 Was a post project review completed for all projects/programmes exceeding €20m?	3	Yes
6.3 Was a post project review completed for all capital grant schemes where the scheme both (1) had an annual value in excess of €30m and (2) where scheme duration was five years or more?	n/a	
<ul><li>6.4 Aside from projects over €20m and grant</li><li>schemes over €30m, was the requirement to review</li><li>5% (Value) of all other projects adhered to?</li></ul>	3	
6.5 If sufficient time has not elapsed to allow for a proper assessment, has a post project review been scheduled for a future date?  6.6 Were lessons learned from post-project reviews	2	Improvement work is currently being carried out on this by the Corporate Project Support Office  Improvement work is currently being carried out on this by the
disseminated within the Sponsoring Agency and to the Sanctioning Authority? (Or other relevant bodies)	2	Corporate Project Support Office
6.7 Were changes made to practices in light of lessons learned from post-project reviews?	2	Improvement work is currently being carried out on this by the Corporate Project Support Office

6.8 Were project reviews carried out by staffing resources independent of project implementation?	2	Improvement work is currently being carried out on this by the Corporate Project Support Office
---	---	---

## Checklist 7 – To be completed in respect of current expenditure programmes that reached the end of their planned timeframe during the year or were discontinued

Current Expenditure that (i) reached the end of its planned timeframe or (ii) was discontinued	Self-Assessed Compliance Rating: 1 - 3	Comment/Action Required
7.1 Were reviews carried out of current expenditure programmes that matured during the year or were discontinued?	N/A	
7.2 Did those reviews reach conclusions on whether the programmes were efficient?	N/A	/
7.3 Did those reviews reach conclusions on whether the programmes were effective?	N/A	
7.4 Have the conclusions reached been taken into account in related areas of expenditure?	N/A	
7.5 Were any programmes discontinued following a review of a current expenditure programme?	N/A	
7.6 Were reviews carried out by staffing resources independent of project implementation?	N/A	
7.7 Were changes made to the organisation's practices in light of lessons learned from reviews?	N/A	

#### Notes:

- The scoring mechanism for the above checklists is as follows:
  - Scope for significant improvements = a score of 1
  - Compliant but with some improvement necessary = a score of 2
  - Broadly compliant = a score of 3
- ❖ For some questions, the scoring mechanism is not always strictly relevant. In these cases, it is appropriate to mark as N/A and provide the required information in the commentary box as appropriate.
- ❖ The focus should be on providing descriptive and contextual information to frame the compliance ratings and to address the issues raised for each question. It is also important

to provide summary details of key analytical outputs covered in the sample for those questions which address compliance with appraisal/evaluation requirements i.e. the annual number of appraisals (e.g. Cost Benefit Analyses or Multi Criteria Analyses), evaluations (e.g. Post Project Reviews). Key analytical outputs undertaken but outside of the sample should also be noted in the report.