

Project: Dublin CC Accessibility Audits

Job No: 23399



Use Type: Public Park

Auditor: G Speirs

Date of Audit: 21/03/2007

Capita Ref: 094

Name: Poddle Park

Address: South Central

**Section 1: Approach Routes & Street Furniture**

REF	QUESTION	Y/N	LOCATION	RECOMMENDATION	QTY	PHOTO REF	PRIORITY	COSTS
1.1	Is the park easy to find?	Yes						
1.2	Is the park within convenient walking distance of: Public Highway, Public Transport, Car Parking?	Yes						
1.3	Is the surrounding approach routes level?	Yes						
1.4	Are the approach routes minimum 1.8m wide?	Yes						
1.5	Are approach route surfaces even and slip resistant?	Yes						
1.6	Do approaches to crossovers have the necessary contrasting tactile surfaces and dropped kerbs?	N/A						
1.7	Is the approach route to the park entrances clearly signed?	No	On approach	Provide suitable signage on approach to the park to advise visitors of its location.		1	1	€200
1.8	Is the approach route to the park suitably lit (if park operates after dark)?	Yes						



1.9	Is the approach route to the park entrances free of hazards such as bollards, litter bins etc?	No		The entrance to both sides of the park is obstructed by bollards - photo 2. Recommend bollards have colour or tonal contrast applied to highlight their location and assist those with a visual impairment.	2	1	€50
1.10	Is there a designated drop-off point close to a park entrance to allow disabled visitors arriving at the park in vehicles to be safely dropped-off? Is it well signed/marked out?	N/A					

**Section 3: Park Entrances**

REF	QUESTION	Y/N	LOCATION	RECOMMENDATION	QTY	PHOTO REF	PRIORITY	COSTS
3.1	Is the park entrance(s) easy to find, well signed and clearly distinguishable from the façade?	Yes						
3.2	Is there suitable signage to the main entrance(s) of the park indicating what facilities are available to assist visitors with a disability (i.e. accessible routes, parking, toilets etc)?	No	Entrance	Provide suitable signage of main entrances to advise visitors of the park facilities.		1	1	€200
3.3	Is there level access to park entrances?	No		By the nature of its design there is restricted wheelchair access over the bridge. This does not pose a particular problem as views are available all over the park to the surroundings and there are alternative access points to all areas of the park. No action required in this instance.				€0
3.4	Are park entrances wide enough for all users (minimum 800mm)?	Yes						



---

3.5 If operated by the public, are park gate handles/controls easy to use and at a suitable height for use by visitors standing or seated? N/A

---

**Section 4: Horizontal Circulation Routes**

REF	QUESTION	Y/N	LOCATION	RECOMMENDATION	QTY	PHOTO REF	PRIORITY	COSTS
4.1	Are circulation routes within the park at least 1800mm wide (or have regular 1800mm wide passing zones)?	Yes						
4.2	Are surfaces even and slip resistant?	Yes						
4.3	Is park signage suitably positioned, sized and with necessary colour/tonal contrast between letters and background? Is there alternative pictorial signage indicating key park features/warnings etc?	N/A						
4.4	Is adequate seating with armrests provided in larger parks?	Yes						
4.5	Does the park allow general movement to all areas; accessible paths, accessible gates, segregation of pedestrians from vehicles?	Yes						
4.6	Are circulation routes kept free of debris, foliage, overgrown plants/shrubs etc?	Yes						

